PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Y	REC'D	3 1	JAN	2005
	WIPO			PCT
F	SC.	Γ		
	ODINII	ON!	OF T	'UE

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	ee form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
· · ·	Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. International filing date PCT/B2004/052291 03.11.2004			day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18.11.2003	
International Patent Class G01R33/422, G01F	• •	both national classification	and IPC		
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHI	LIPS ELECTRO	ONICS, N.V.			
1. This opinion c	ontains indication	ons relating to the foll	owing items:		
⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the op	pinion			
図 Box No. II	Priority				
☐ Box No. III	☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
☐ Box No. IV					
⊠ Box No. V	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
☐ Box No. VI	☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited				

FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

Certain defects in the international application

☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Dragomir, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2175



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/052291

_					
_	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type	of material:			
		a sequence listing			
		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:				
		in written format			
		in computer readable form			
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:			
		contained in the international application as filed.			
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.	h: Cd	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opropriate, were furnished.			
4.	Additio	onal comments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

V-

International application No. PCT/IB2004/052291

_	Bo	x No. II	Priority				
— 1.	<u> </u>		llowing document h	nas not be	en furnishe	ed:	
		□ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).					
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).					
		Conse	quently it has not b neless been establ	een possit ished on th	ole to cons le assump	ider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has tion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.	
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
3.		It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.					
4.	Add		bservations, if nec				
,							
		c No. V ustrial a	Reasoned state applicability; citat	ement und ions and e	er Rule 43 explanatio	Bbls.1(a)(I) with regard to novelty, inventive step or one supporting such statement	
1.		tement					
	Novelty (N) Yes:			Claims Claims	1-24		
	Inve	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims		
		•	`	No:	Claims	1-24	
	Indu	ıstrial ap	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-24	
2.	Cita	tions an	d explanations				

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

- 1 The following document is referred to in this communication:
 - D1: ALECCI M ET AL: "THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION OF DETACHED ENDCAPS FOR 3 T BIRDCAGE COILS" MAGNETIC RESONANCE IN MEDICINE, ACADEMIC PRESS, DULUTH, MN, US, vol. 49, no. 2, February 2003 (2003-02), pages 363-370, XP001144298 ISSN: 0740-3194
- 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A radio frequency coil system for MRI (Abstract), the coil comprising: a plurality of parallel spaced apart rungs which each includes rung capacitors (page 364, par. 2, lines 16-19); an end cap disposed at the closed end of the coil system (page 364, par. 2, lines 6-9 and Fig. 1a); and an RF shield which is (capacitively) connected to the end cap and

surrounds the rungs extending in a direction substantially parallel to rungs (page 364, par. 2, lines 6-9; page 364, par. 3 and Fig. 1a).

Where the actual 4 mm distance between the endcap and RF-shield is

considered to ensure a capacitive connection between the two components.

Hence the subject matter of claim 1 is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

- 3 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 19 and 24
- 3.1 The argument above apply mutatis-mutandis to the method claim 19 and apparatus claim 24. Hence the subject matter of these claims is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/052291

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-18, 20-23

Dependent claims 2-18, 20-23 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

The additional features (e.g. low, high, band-pass operation; the individual meshes used in a SENSE configuration, multimode operation, etc) of these dependent claims are either disclosed (see D1 and the search report) or well known to the skilled person.

Hence the subject matter of these claims is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).